5-17-2014

The Functional Movement Screen as a Predictor of Tactical Athlete Performance

Claire Bock
Bond University

M. Stierli
New South Wales Police Force

B. Hinton
New South Wales Police Force

Robin M. Orr
Bond University, rorr@bond.edu.au

The Functional Movement Screen as a as a Predictor of Tactical Athlete Performance

[1]Claire Bock, [2]Michael Stierli, [2]Ben Hinton, [1]Rob Orr

[1]Bond University [2]NSW Police Force

Introduction

“The Functional Movement Screen as a Predictor of Police Occupational Task Performance”

Aim

Investigate the relationship between movement quality and occupational task performance

Question

Can the Functional Movement Screen predict occupational performance in police recruits?

Image: Approved by NSW Police

Methods

Procedures

- As part of their training process, 173 police recruits completed 4 occupational measures
- Police recruits were then divided into tutor groups by College staff who were blinded to the study
- The research team then randomly selected two tutor groups, to complete the FMS
- n= 53 police recruits

Exclusion criteria

- Any recruit who did not give informed consent
- Suffering from a current injury

Methods

Functional Movement Screen (Cook et, al. 2006)

- Evaluation tool used to assess the fundamental movement patterns of an individual in a dynamic and functional capacity
- Movement patterns require elements of muscle strength, flexibility, range of motion, coordination, balance, and proprioception for successful completion
- Identifies an individual's functional limitations and / or asymmetries

Methods

Functional Movement Screen (Cook et, al. 2006)

- 7 movement patterns include:
  - Overhead squat, hurdle step, inline lunge, shoulder mobility, active straight leg raise, push-up, and rotary stability
- Each movement pattern is scored on a 0-3 ordinal scale
- Overall scores can range from 0 to 21
- Previous studies have suggested that low FMS scores of ≤14 have an association with musculoskeletal injuries in athletic (Chorba, et al. & Kiesel, et al.), general (Schneiders, et al. & Perry, et al.) and tactical (O'Conner, et al. & Lisman, et al.) populations

Methods

Occupational Measures

- Marksmanship
  - Standard police Z-4 target with a 9mm Glock pistol firing a total of 30 scoring rounds over several serials
- Defense Tactics
  - Restraining belligerent assailants and handcuffing
- Baton Strikes
  - Baton strikes to precise areas of designated static targets
- Tactical Options
  - Choose correct application of force to control a situation

Image: Approved by NSW Police

Results

- FMS scores ranged from 8 to 18 points (mean=13.9 ± 1.9 points)
- 11% (n=6) Failed the Marksmanship & Baton Strike assessments
- 21% (n=11) Failed Defensive Tactics
- 36% (n=19) Failed Tactical Options

Table 1: Descriptive statistics for occupational measures

Variables	n = Participants Pass Occupational Measure	FMS Mean (Points)	n = Participants Fail Occupational Measure	FMS Mean (Points)
Marksmanship	47	14.02 ± 1.994	6	13.50 ± 2.074
Defensive tactics	42	14.07 ± 2.005	11	13.55 ± 1.968
Baton strikes	47	13.96 ± 2.048	6	13.83 ± 1.602
Tactical Options	34	14.32 ± 1.718	19	13.32 ± 2.311

*$p = 0.077$

Results

Post hoc analysis

•Scaled FMS scores were converted to categorical pass (14+) or fail (<14) using scoring system associated with injury prediction

Table 2: Chi Square grouped by FMS <14 (Fail) and 14+ (Pass)

Variables	n = Participants Pass FMS	n = Participants Fail FMS	Chi Square tests		
			x^2	df	*P*
Marksmanship	47	6	-0.589	1	0.443
Defensive tactics	42	11	-0.444	1	0.505
Baton strikes	47	6	-0.019	1	0.891
Tactical Options	34	19	-3.627	1	0.057

•No significant relationship was found between the FMS scores and the Marksmanship performance, Defensive tactics assessment or Baton Strikes assessment

•Tactical Options assessment approached a significant relationship

Results



Discussion

The results of our study indicate a relationship was found between FMS scores and an occupational measure (Tactical Options assessment) of police recruits

Findings are supported by 3 studies

- Chapman, et al. 2013
- Lisman, et al. 2012
- Petersen, et al. 2007

Conclusion and Take Home Message

- Police occupation requires completion of dynamic tasks in which poor movements may lead to decreased performance and injury
- The current study suggests that the FMS may predict performance of the Tactical Options assessment
- Further research is required to advance the findings of this study within a larger cohort of police recruits

Images: Approved by NSW Police

References

- Blacker, S. D., Carter, J. M., Wilkinson, D. M., Richmond, V. L., Rayson, M. P., & Peattie, M. (2013). Physiological responses of Police Officers during job simulations wearing chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear personal protective equipment. *Ergonomics*, *56*(1), 137-147.
- Bock, C. & Orr, R. (submitted). Use of the Functional Movement Screen in a Tactical Population: A Review, Journal Of Military and Veteran's Health.
- Chapman, R. F., Laymon, A. S., & Arnold, T. (2013). Functional Movement Scores and Longitudinal Performance Outcomes in Elite Track and Field Athletes. *International journal of sports physiology and performance*.
- Chorba, R. S., Chorba, D. J., Bouillon, L. E., Overmyer, C. A., & Landis, J. A. (2010). Use of a functional movement screening tool to determine injury risk in female collegiate athletes. *North American journal of sports physical therapy: NAJSPT, 5*(2)*,* 47-54.
- Cook, G., Burton, L., & Hoogenboom, B. (2006). Pre-participation screening: The use of fundamental movements as an assessment of function - Part 1. *North American journal of sports physical therapy: NAJSPT, 1*(2), 62-72.
- Dempsey, P. C., Handcock, P. J., & Rehrer, N. J. (2013). Impact of police body armour and equipment on mobility. *Applied ergonomics*, *44*(6), 957-961.
- Downs, S., & Black, N. (1998). The Feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both randomized and non-randomized studies of health care Interventions. *Journal Epidemiology and Community Health, 52*(6)*,* 337-384.
- Frost, D. M., Beach, T. A., Callaghan, J. P., & McGill, S. M. (2012). Using the Functional Movement Screen(TM) to evaluate the effectiveness of training. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research, 26*(6): 1620-1630.
- Goss, D. L., Christopher, G. E., Faulk, R. T., & Moore, J. (2008). Functional training program bridges rehabilitation and return to duty. *Journal of special operations medicine: a peer reviewed journal for SOF medical professionals*, *9*(2), 29-48.

References

- Gribble, P. A., Bridge, J., Pietrosimore, B. G., Pfile, K. R., Webster, K. A. (2013). Intrarater reliability of the functional movement screen. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research, 27(4), 978-81.*
- Hasselquist, L., Bensel, C. K., Corner, B., Gregorczyk, K. N., & Schiffman, J. M. (2008). Understanding the Physiological, Biomechanical, and Performance Effects of Body Armor Use. *Army Natick Solder Research, Development and Enginerring Centre, MA*
- Kennelly, J. (2011). Methodological Approach to Assessing the Evidence. In Handler, A., Kennelly, J. & Peacock, N. (Eds.), *Reducing Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Reproductive and Perinatal Outcomes: The Evidence from Population-Based Interventions. (*p7-19). Springer, US.
- Kiesel, K., Plisky, P. J., & Voight, M. L. (2007). Can serious injury in professional football be predicted by a preseason functional movement screen?. *North American journal of sports physical therapy: NAJSPT*, *2*(3), 147.
- Kiesel, K., Plisky, P., & Butler, R. (2011). Functional movement test scores improve following a standardized off-season intervention program in professional football players. *Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports*, *21*(2), 287-292.
- Knapik, J. G., Harman, E., & Reynolds, K. L. (1996). Load carriage using packs: A review of physiological, biomechanical and medical aspects*. Appl Ergon*, 27(3), 207-216.
- Larsen, B., Netto, K., & Aisbett, B. (2011). The effect of body armor on performance, thermal stress, and exertion: a critical review. *Military Medicine*, 176 (11), 1265-73.
- Lisman, P., O'Connor, F. G., Deuster, P. A., & Knapik, J. J. (2012). Functional movement screen and aerobic fitness predict injuries in military training. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 45(4), 636-643.
- Minick, K. I., Kiesel, K. B., Burton, L., Taylor, A., Plisky, P., & Butler, R. J. (2010). Interrater reliability of the functional movement screen. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, *24*(2), 479-486.
- O'Connor, F. G., Deuster, P. A., Davis, J., Pappas, C. G., & Knapik, J. J. (2011). Functional movement screening: predicting injuries in officer candidates. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, *43*(12), 2224-30.

References

- Orr R. The Royal Military College of Duntroon. Physical Conditioning Optimisation Review Canberra: ACT: Department of Defence;2007.
- Park, H., Branson, D., Kim, S., Warren, A., Jacobson, B., Petrova, A., Peksoz, S., & Kamenidis, P. (2013). Effect of armor and carrying load on body balance and leg muscle function. *Gait Posture*, [Epub ahead of print].
- Perry, F. T., & Koehle, M. S. (2013). Normative data for the functional movement screen in middle-aged adults. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, *27*(2), 458-462.
- Petersen, E. J., & Smith, K. C. (2007). Benefits of a musculoskeletal screening examination for initial entry training soldiers. *Military medicine*, *172*(1).
- Sell, T. C., Pederson, J. J., Abt, J. P., Nagai, T., Deluzio, J., Wirt, M. D., McCord, L., & Lephart. S. M. (2013). The addition of body amor diminishes dynamic postural stability in Military Soldiers. *Military Medicine,* 178(1), 76-81.
- Schneiders, A. G., Davidsson, Å., Hörman, E., & Sullivan, S. J. (2011). Functional movement screen(TM) normative values in a young, active population. *International journal of sports physical therapy*, *6*(2), 75.
- Sharkey, B. J., & Davis, P. O. (2008). *Hard work: defining physical work performance requirements*. Human Kinetics.
- SPSS Inc.: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Version 19.0) [computer software]. IBM Corporation; 2010.
- Tyhen, D. S., Shaffer, S. W., Lorenson, C. L., Halfpap, J. P., Donofry, D. F., Walker, M. J., Dugan, J. D., & Childs, J. D. (2012). The Functional Movement Screen: a reliability study. *J Orthopaedic Sports Physical Therapy*, *42*(6), 530-40.
- Images: Approved by NSW police for media release