Social Marketing: Who's Right and Whose Right to Say so

Stephen S. Holden
Bond University, sholden@bond.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: http://epublications.bond.edu.au/research_posters
Part of the Business Law, Public Responsibility, and Ethics Commons, and the Marketing Commons

Recommended Citation

This Book is brought to you by the Research Week at ePublications@bond. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Week Posters by an authorized administrator of ePublications@bond. For more information, please contact Bond University's Repository Coordinator.
Social Marketing: Who’s Right and Whose Right to Say So

Stephen S. Holden

Social Marketing is:

... non-commercial marketing aimed at promoting a 'social good'
- vaccinate
- recycle
- breastfeed
- drive safely
- donate to charity
- use less electricity
- keep fit / lose weight
- drink less alcohol / quit smoking

Is social marketing ethical?

The question is addressed here in a philosophical manner. That is to say:
- There are no data and
- There are no answers!

Social marketing’s claim to being ethical is that it is for a 'social good’, but...
- What is ‘socially good’?
- Who says so?

E.g., vaccination marketing

Commercial marketers: CSL Biotherapies, sanofi pasteur, GlaxoSmithKline, Medimmune, Novartis, etc.

Public health agencies: Immunise Australia, Public Health Agency of Canada, CDC, WHO, etc.

Pro-Choice / Anti-vaccinators: Australian Vaccination Network (avn.org.au), ProCon.org, The Refusers (www.refusers.com), etc.

Vaccinate against myth-understanding

Who is more ethical?

Commercial & social marketer promoting vaccinations: Both provide a ‘social good’. This provides profit to the commercial marketer. What does it provide to the social marketer?

Two social marketers deliver conflicting messages:
Both for the ‘social good’!

So, who’s right, and whose right to say so?

Ethical issues raised...

- Liberty: freedom of choice denied, the ‘greater good’ dominates individual rights
- Ends & means: marketing is about influence; do the ends (ever) justify the means?
- Paternalism: government dictates to the public as parents dictate to children – and they may be wrong
- Free riding: non-vaccinators are protected by herd immunity, and the ‘herd’ benefits from vaccinators who experience an adverse reaction, i.e., they ‘take one for the team’!

Social marketing is not inherently ethical (even though 'social good' is the objective).