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Sanctioning/Coaching Report – April 2002 General Meeting.

Sanctioning:

- Now 137 sanctioned events in QLD and still growing.
- Mooloolaba course fully set and sanctioning completed
- Dates for next season duathlons being finalized
- Awaiting dates from TA for tour events
- Meeting with USM re past season’s review State Champs
- Standardising junior events to categories with RD’s
- Awaiting insurance quotes and rates for next season
- Weet-bix kids event 14/4 will have 1500 competitors
- 2002/2003 State Duathlon Championships (10/40/5) will be held at Kingaroy 7 September 2002.
- Reminder Club Champs 5th May
REPORT TO THE MEETING 11TH May 11, 1999

Sanctioning:
With Mooloolaba gone and Byron Bay this weekend, the season nearly draws to a close. Several aspects of the Sanctioning document will be amended to incorporate changes brought about by the Race Directors meetings and the Technical Officials meetings. These amendments have yet to be ratified by the Technical representative and by the Executive.
Whilst it seems that the flow of paperwork is never ending, the necessity to lift and maintain standards for events generates even more. Cooperation between Race Directors and Triathlon Queensland must be harmonious and continuous if the sport is to progress.
Bearing this in mind, the last couple of months has seen a markedly increase in standards for events, Mooloolaba is a good example of good cooperation and I take this opportunity to thank Garth, Donna and Ron for putting up with my constant calls.
By the next meeting the revised sanctioning documents should be approved and distributed to all Clubs and Race Directors ready for next season.
Coaching:
Not a lot at this stage to report, started to mark exam papers for the last level 1 course held last month and am taking expressions of interest for the next course (weekend) to be held possibly the end of June.
Interest in a Level 2 course is still high, but TA have not yet finished their review of the curriculum and therefore I must await the outcome.
One aspect that will not change is the prerequisite of having completed a level 2 Coaching Principals Course. Unfortunately, both the UNI and TAFE no longer hold this course during class time but it is offered by the Qld Uni as a correspondence course – 4 months @ $185.00. Degree in either Sports science or Physical Education will gain exemption from the Coaching Principals course.
For details re the above please contact me at the Office.

Michael Sanderson.

Sanctioning:
With exception of the BRW Corporate event, all Sanction fees for the last season have been paid. In all 102 events attracted Sanction fees as well as ASIB payments. The review of the updated Sanctioning documents have been finalised and am now waiting for feedback from our legal representative to clear up any abnormalities that may be present.
Within the next week should see a major mail out to all Race Directors in Queensland and Far North NSW with the revised Sanctioning Documents. The revised documents have included a new checklist for use by the Race Directors and by the Race Referee to ensure that all aspects of Sanctioning have been complied with and any recommendations for the next event, either at the course or by the Race Director. A new calendar has been set up to make it more readable, as well as bolder print for inclusion in the Ultimate Challenge.
Several changes have been negotiated to minimise the amount of event clashes and to protect the dates of the State Championships.
Remember the 22 August – State Duathlon Event at Closburn by Phil Hungerford – entry forms will be out in a week.
SANCTIONING:
Sanctioning is becoming more and more complex as the season progresses. Police
permits, Council authority permits and marshalling are big issues that are not going to
disappear. As the police have indicated, their own legal advice have generated more
restrictions than they wish to have but cannot ignore or bypass them.
Race Directors need to more aware now that their ability to follow the permit conditions
are being monitored and they will have bigger restraints imposed if they do not toe the
line.
Marshals are a big problem, the fact that more often than not they fail to turn up without
advising the RD put everyone at risk. It should also be noted here that Technical Officials
are volunteers whose expenses get covered to a limited amount by TQ but are not there to
act as marshals as well – the transition area has to be covered by sufficient people to
ensure security, safety and racking.
Local councils are now getting Triathlon Queensland involved with the issues of permits
and soon you will see a greater tie in with the sanction documents to the conditions
imposed by the councils.
Everyone now has to face the reality of risk management, and the granting of permits has
it’s own risk.
Sanctioned events so far this season from the 30/6/98 = 79
Events to be sanctioned to 30/6/99 = 23
This is down on the previous years but then TQ with ASIB have reduced the need for
Club events to be fully sanctioned as well as some remote areas now cannot afford to put
on races and comply with all the restrictions imposed by all authorities and insurance.
Sanction fees have not changed in the past few years and yet expenses have grown out of
proportion; to maintain the service, if not improve on them, means that Technical aspects
will outstrip the income from sanctioning fees.
Sanctioning:
Still upgrading and streamlining procedures, but then reporting system from Race Referee's is improving and therefore the feedback to Race Directors about their events is gaining ground. The main problems are still the lack of marshals on the day, so many fail to turn up and also fail to notify the RD that they cannot make it hence making life difficult for all. Wave starts need to be more precise as far as timing on cycle course and the number of people in the wave. We cannot make the race suit the amount of time the road closure has been set, but make the time for the road closure suit the numbers. Better planning is needed to take into account the need for RD's to help eliminate the potential for drafting by lack of course consideration.
The timing of events, namely the advertised start time must be adhered to except for safety reasons. Three times this season so far events have been held up because water safety was not on time - whose fault is it, the RD's for not ensuring what time the lifesavers were to be there or the lifesavers themselves for being slack – do not forget that these people are being paid for their services.
Whilst most events stick to all the sanctioning requirements and some consideration is given to changes depending on the circumstances on the day, any changes made must be made through this office or to the Race Referee on the day. We are getting some feedback that a lot of people are not being paid for their services to the Race Director. It should be pointed out that being unfinancial as far outstanding debts are concerned does effect the right of getting events sanctioned. Triathlon Queensland will act on any written complaint about failure to pay for services in a sanctioned event.
Two events this year has had a trail run with a "draft Legal" wave – only professional and Junior Elite/Junior development athletes eligible to enter in this wave. Teething problems in relation to multi-lap courses, but the response has been great with a few suggestions from Miles Stewart and co. for the next event.
The Age Group selection event on the 28 March will have the National entry forms out soon, but the Early Bird entry forms done by this office has produced results already and judging by the amount of enquires the field should be quite large. The sanctioning for this event is progressing well and Triathlon Queensland hopes to make a showcase out of this event for the other States to take note as well as ensure TA considers the event for next season.
The next newsletter will be out in March with an update on all selection policies of both ITU and TA. Also by this time a selection policy will have been set for next years Junior Development Squad.

Technical:
A lot of fun trying to find officials to work during the Christmas holiday period but apart from that not a real lot of problems.
Several issues have been resolved last week due to a meeting open to all Technical Officials and TQ. 29 people attended the meeting with a lot of feedback provided that will be evaluated and put into practise as soon as possible. A sub-committee has been formed that will take all issues from TO’s and try and give the Executive some ideas to pass on. A full rundown on the meeting will be put in the U/C as I feel that the issues raised concerns all athletes, as it is themselves that we are dealing with.
Some aspects of officiating come under scrutiny as perceived views of our people working leave a lot to be desired. At no time must we forget that we are on show and that our performance is always studied and criticised.
TA has provided TQ with a limited number of new shirts, unfortunately there are insufficient to replace all the old fluro yellow shirts. Paul Binnie is taking this up with TA.
I am attempting to set up Level 1 courses in both the Nth and Sth Coast areas, as numbers have increased in the metro area but has reduced elsewhere. In an effort to reduce costs to TQ and exceed sanctioning fees, officials must be sourced from areas close to the events, so any members wishing to do a course please contact me as soon as possible.

Michael Sanderson
State Coordinator.
Sanctioning Report – April General Meeting.

The following highlights some points from sanctioning.

- 8th April very busy date as 6 events across the State on at the same time as well as Forster/Tuncurry.
- Weet-Bix kids event at Southbank had in excess of 1000 kids, the parents were not too much trouble. Great event.
- Dalby Cotton Week Tri went well with great support from officials from Chinchilla and Gundiwindi. Chinchilla, Miles, Toowoomba, St George
- State Titles at Hervey Bay was conducted under excessive heat, water temperature at 27.5 degrees – no wet suit ruling, event went very well considering and most used water as they should.
- Few events have fees still outstanding – ASIB chasing fees due for ODRP’s etc but records incorrect.
- Calendar being held up due to a need for a review of the State Championship series for the past season.
- May 20th at Suttons Beach (Clontarf now closed due to Kite Flying competition) expected to be big, very much interest in the last event as Club Championships.
Sanctioning:
Spent most of the last month looking after Noosa Age group and ITU events.
The aquathon event worked very well with the Run/swim/run style, definitely splits the field up.
Have had no other problems with sanctioning.
So far this season drafting and early leaving are the only major problems with events.
Sanctioning: (June 2002)

- Next season’s insurance components re Public Liability and one day racing insurance still to be finalised but due within two weeks.
- Regional events still being conducted
- Requested confirmation/notification of proposed TA Tour Dates to enable final calendar for the next season.
- Wet suit rule confirmed and as a RCR, it is a part of sanctioning and must be applied by all parties.

Michael Sanderson

Sanctioning:

- 162 sanctioned events in QLD.
- Mooloolaba event successful
- Dates for next season duathlons being finalized
- Awaiting dates from TA for tour events, due 20/5
- Awaiting insurance quotes and rates for next season
- ODRP fees raised to $10.00 per event
- Up dating sanctioning documents
- Sanctioning fees stay the same

Sanctioning:
• 33 sanctioned event conducted so far, does not include Club events
• Have been advised by TA that a National Club policy will be established later this month. The policy will be dramatically changed from the existing policy. Whilst TQ and QLD clubs have used the policy as it was designed, it appears that several clubs in other states have taken advantage and the underwriters are not prepared to take the increase risk.
• Wet suit ruling is still under construction pending final board acceptance into RCR.
• Penalty box ruling accepted as an option at events dependant upon RD and sanctioning.
• Sanctioning fees include ODRP for all sanctioned events including junior events. Personal accident and injury cover is compulsory for all events that are sanctioned, both by clubs and TQ.

All fees from last season paid.
Insurance claimants from Mooloolaba have had their claims refused.
Noosa to be showcase/educational event to the introduction of penalty boxes for drafting/blocking violations.

Sanctioning:

- Noosa drafting policy successful
- National/State Aquathlon biggest field yet – accurate course
- All schools Triathlon event moved to Bribie Island 8 December 2001.
- 56 sanctioned events conducted to date for this season
Sanctioning/Coaching Report – General Meeting 14 August 2001

Sanctioning:

- Updated sanctioning documents
- Reformatted above to all word
- Restructured “club” event policy
- Reviewing renewed insurance criteria for juniors
- State Series dates set subject to TA Tour clashes when announced
- USM to run State Series under revised format and updated junior events
- All Cairns club events sanctioned
- 8 Nth Qld junior events listed
Sanctioning: (June 2002)

- National insurance coverage, sanction fees, one race memberships and triathlon development fees being ratified.
- Sanctioning documents updated and awaiting clarification and approval from TA.
- The insurance brokers for the sport is Lowe Lippmann Bott Pty Ltd
- Calendar for the next season in the next issue of U/C with current dates set for National and State events – locations for a couple of TA events still to be finalised.
- Meeting with USM and Executive re fee structures for the Duathlon series and Duathlon Short Course Championships
- Finalised details for Long Course Championships Duathlon in Kingaroy – entry forms in next U/C.

Michael Sanderson
AFFILIATED TRIATHLON CLUB
TRIATHLON EVENT SANCTIONING POLICY
EFFECTIVE - 22nd November
2001 - 2002

This policy only applies to financial and affiliated Triathlon Clubs, which currently maintain an ASIB insurance cover for public liability.

Affiliated Triathlon Club
Affiliated Triathlon Club may conduct triathlon events without the need to take out a separate public liability insurance cover for that particular triathlon event, if all the following circumstances apply:

a. All participants are financial and permanent members of a TA affiliated Club, &

b. The triathlon event is staged under TA Sanctioning guidelines, &

c. Sanctioning documentation has been completed as required by the relevant sanctioning body (STTA), and submitted to the STTA within 30 days prior to the event, &

d. Not required to pay any STTA sanctioning fee, &

e. Field limited to no more than 75 current registered Triathlon Club members.

Special Notes
- Should a triathlon club not adhere to all of the above conditions then the standard race sanctioning and insurance will apply.

- Triathlon Clubs should ensure that competitors/participants understand that they have no insurance in their own right unless they are current members of the state/territory association.

- Affiliated Triathlon Club - triathlon events already sanctioned by their State/Territory Triathlon Associations on/or before this effective date (22nd November 2001) will continue to be considered and managed under the previous guidelines.

- Affiliated Triathlon Club - triathlon events not sanctioned by their State/Territory Triathlon Association as at this effective date (22nd
November 2001) must be considered and managed under this new policy as listed above.
Michael Sanderson

From: "David Hansen" <david.hansen@supersprint.com.au>
To: "Michael Sanderson" <admin@triathlonqld.com.au>
Sent: Monday, 29 July 2002 11:05
Subject: Issues

Michael,

Thank you for a copy of the note sent to David Ray. Malcolm Robertson is on holidays at present and will not be back till the 3rd August. I will respond to some of the issues you have raised in his absence and as a RD who has a race in QLD.

Entry fee differential - Malcolm clearly stated in the meeting that there did not have to be an entry fee differential if an event owner/RD chose that to be the case as long as the required fees were paid. I would suggest it would probably work against an event if they didn't have a differential in place as the members are the ones who support the races.

TDF - This area needs to be far more defined as I believe it could become a major area of conflict between an event owner/RD and a STTA. In the case of our corporate race in QLD, we have to pay to make a specific television commercial for the Gold Coast event. Can I request that my sport development fee goes towards the cost of producing the commercial. Having a television commercial is not only a benefit to our event, but to the sport in general.

As a matter of interest all the Victorian RD's have rejected this TDF and T.V looks like not enforcing this area.

Should you wish to discuss in further detail I can be contacted on 03 9596 2340.

Regards,

David.
Michael Sanderson

From: "Nick Munting" <nrm@ozemail.com.au>
To: "David Ray" <usmdavid@optusnet.com.au>
Sent: Monday, 29 July 2002 11:20
Subject: Re: Fees - Insurance and sanctioning/Development

David

Thanks for your email. I believe I hear what you say; but I also know from the events we conduct in other Australian states that all the projected cost rises are not out of the ball park with the rest of the country, nor does our research and latest race entries disagree with these findings as far as competitors are concerned.

We also don't see a different pricing for non-licensed members - we charge everyone the same event entry fee... non members merely face an additional one-day license fee should they wish to race, as against license holders who have simply purchased theirs earlier...

I am certainly not against the PL Insurance rise, and in fact I applaud Triathlon Australia for their work in this area, as for many RDs and race organisations, the rise could easily have been up to 8X the cost of previous years... believe me I did the costing. It might not be the same at the lower end of the food chain as for the big two organising organisations...

The fact that TA has achieved a 100% rise in the amount covered (IE: from 10mll to 20 mill) makes the achievement all the more remarkable.

Association members (our customers) achieve a good insurance situation through membership with 24/7 racing and training insurance... I would rather tempt my other competitors to take this option than race with one-race membership... however, I respect the need to help fund the development of the sport and agree wholeheartedly that this should be done by those not 'belonging' to the sport.

I have found no lack of competitors for our events although we insist on pricing that assists members, against those who come along when they feel like it... I'm all for a situation that demands compulsory licensing - it should eventually go that way as a matter of necessity if we are to able to continue to put on races in community environments at anywhere near affordable insurance and local costs...

I believe that if an event delivers a great experience and great memories, is sanctioned, is conducted with safety paramount and provides a fun, good to get back to again atmosphere and is marketed wisely to the athlete - they will come!

SO, while I respect your views I would ask you to also respect those of other race organisations - I am not about to change the opinions I have written as they are based on face to face liaison with our customers, the Australian triathlete.

Best Regards
Nick Munting
X-Tri Australia P/L
"Good Sports!"
ABN 86 0940 7073
Tel: (02) 9971 1575
Fax: (02) 9944 0576
Cell: 0412 228 409
nick@x-tri.com.au
www.x-tri.com.au

Subscribe to the TriDU e-news letter - send an e-mail to:
Nick

Interested / surprised to see that you favour a higher fee for those who are not members of a State Assoc.

I personally believe that as Event owners & managers our focus is to get as many people as possible to do our events. A $10 differential between members & non members is becoming very discriminatory, places unnecessary financial burdens on our customers and could deter people from entering our events.

The entry fee differential is the greatest tool we have in dealing with TA TQ etc. By deciding to have a differential we are placing extra financial burdens on our customers in order to assist the State Assoc sell their product - (membership).

Membership of State Assoc should be driven by incentives not penalties.... States need to package & promote membership well, and get out there and sell .... eg every Sunday at our events.

Costs will rise - PL ins now $360 per event - 100% inc , One day race members ins $3.30 pp - 50% inc. Sanctioning remains at $2.20 pp capped at 500. - over 500 to be negotiated between RD/STTA.

There is no need to introduce new fees.

The new TDF (Triathlon Development Fee) was originally proposed as a fee on unlicenced competitors only and was seen by State Assoc's as a new revenue stream.. After negative feedback and RD input it was modified to become a fund to encourage participation in triathlon - $2.20 per unlic or $1.10 this year & $2.20 next year..

I personally don't believe that we need the fund or that unlic competitors need the extra expense. RDs currently promote their events which in turn increases participation and in general are better at it than the State Assoc's.

Regards

David Ray
Race Directors Chair - Qld
Michael Sanderson

From: "David Ray" <usmdavid@optusnet.com.au>
To: "Michael Sanderson" <admin@triathlonqld.com.au>
Cc: "Malcolm Robertson" <malcolmr@eventstrategies.com.au>; "Ann Mantari" <annieman61@hotmail.com>; "Cairns Crocs Triathlon Club" <cairnscrocs@cairnsom.com.au>; "Dave Hansen" <david.hansen@supersprint.com.au>;
"David Vincent" <david.vincent@growzone.com.au>; "Donna Croft" <usmdonna@optusnet.com.au>; "Frenchville Triathlon Club" <g.dickson@cqu.edu.au>;
"Grant Schofield" <g.schofield@cqu.edu.au>; "Isa Rats" <samcookeisa@bigpond.com>;
"Mackay Tri Club" <trevcath@hotmail.com>; "Nick Munting" <nick@auto-focus.com>;
"Phil Hungerford" <runinn@powerup.com.au>; "Redcliffe Tri Club" <Ken-Adriaansen@qbuild.qld.gov.au>;
"Scott Smith" <cheka@austarnet.com.au>; "Stephen Mentiplay" <smentiplay@uqconnect.net.au>; "Townsville Tri Club" <wayne_crase@dialog.com.au>;
"Tri-Fit Uni Qld Tri Club" <bren-jen@ecn.net.au>;
"Whitsunday Tri Club" <medres@mackay.net.au>; "Timbo Hughes" <timbohughes@bigpond.com>;
"Brian Chapman" <brian@triathlonqld.com.au>; "Kevin Dellow" <kdellow@bigpond.net.au>; "Kevin Jones" <kevinlea@powerup.com.au>;
"Paul Binnie" <pbinnie@optusnet.com.au>; "Tony Patteson" <Tony.Patteson@aus.xerox.com>

Sent: Monday, 29 July 2002 1:39
Subject: Entry fees differentials & TDF

Michael

1) Info. As indicated in my email more info is required. The issue is not problems with the info supplied but rather that it is incomplete - as per my response.

It is suggested that TQ recognise the commercial relationship that exists between between STTA's/TA and RD's/Event Managers throughout these negotiations.

2) Entry fee differential. As I outlined in my earlier email it should not be assumed that an entry fee differential is a standard component of any event. The past is the past, what we are looking at here is the future.

It should be recognised that the charging of entry fee differential is the prerogative of the Race Director. Event managers may chose to offer the same entry fee to all their customers and absorb the various TA/STTA charges within that entry fee.

There is a whole other issue here regarding memberships (their promotion, sale, appeal etc) which I will leave with TQ.

As stated in my earlier email, .....if TQ wish Qld RDs to have an entry fee differential for the forthcoming season (ie. request that event managers charge their customers different prices) then RD’s request that TQ present a proposal to RD's showing the cost/ benefits/rationale etc - to all parties (customers/competitors, race directors, state association etc.) of such a request.

It is unfortunate that once again different parties have a different recollection of what was discussed/agreed to the National conference.
- Entry fee differential was not formally discussed at the recent conference.
- Conference summary prepared by RDs noted. "No compulsory entry fee differential" (copy attached with earlier email).
- Document ex TA re TDF - TA recommends RD’s be requested to pass on TDF fee to ORMs & not attempt to absorb the extra cost.

3) TDF.
   i) RDs are not in favour of this proposed new fee. Entry fees will be increasing this season as a result of increased insurance costs and the increased costs in complying with requirements of the various regulatory authorities from whom permission must be obtained in order to conduct triathlons. RD's believe that cost increases should be kept to minimum and that the introduction of an additional admin/development fee is not in the best interest of the sport.
   ii) Again, if TQ wish Qld RDs (event managers) to accept the TDF proposal I suggest TQ should put forward a proposal to RD's showing the cost / proposed budget / proposed programme / benefits etc - to all parties ( customers/competitors, race directors, state association etc ) of such a fund.

Suggest this proposal includes -
a) Revenue ex TDF - suggest TQ calculate what funds it would raise at both the $1.10 and the $2.20 level. Feedback I have receive at this stage from RDs would indicate that the $1.10 option is preferred to the higher $2.20 option.
b) How TQ intend spending the money. Once again I reiterate RDs understanding that it be spent on "increasing the number of people participating in the sport". We envisage the fund be used on new initiatives.
c) Administration of the fund. Separate committee ? Representation ? TQ & RD equal voice ?
Upon receipt of proposals regarding Entry fee differentials and TDF, as requested, Qld RD's will be able to offer TQ their decision.

Michael, I trust this response clarifies RD's position and look forward to receiving details of TQ's proposals.

Regards
David Ray
Race Directors Chair - TQ

--- Original Message ---

From: Michael Sanderson
To: David Ray
Cc: Tony Patteson; Paul Binnie; Kevin Jones; Kevin Dellow; Brian Chapman; Timbo Hughes; Whitsunday Tri Club; Tri-Fit Uni Qld Tri Club; Townsville Tri Club; Stephen Mentiplay; Scott Smith; Redcliffe Tri Club; Phil Hungerford; Nick Munting; Mackay Tri Club; Isa Rats; Grant Schofield; Frenchville Triathlon Club; Donna Croft; David Vincent; Dave Hansen; Cairns Crocs Triathlon Club; Ann Manttari
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2002 10:25 AM
Subject: Re: Fees - Insurance and sanctioning/Development

Dear David

Sorry for the delay in getting back to you as TQ shifted office which meant down time for computers etc. I will answer your points in the same order as you listed:

1. Timeline: sent the message and attachments Tuesday, had several positive and supportive responses by Thursday. No problems indicated with the information supplied.

2. Entry fee differential? - Have had $5.00 differential for several years with no problems and accepted by all including competitors. It was agreed at the Conference by all, including Malcolm and you for the ORM to be shown as a differential. It was also agreed that the TDF be part of the ORM as advised as per TA policy (dated 3/7/02) sent as an attachment with TQ's email Tuesday.

3. TDF - Generating participation across QLD etc: The attachment of the TA policy as agreed at the conference listed as a condition, and I quote" The TDF is to be administered by STTA's in consultation with the Race Directors' Committee of the relevant STTA." & "The fund is to be applied for the promotion of participation in triathlon, with a view to increasing the number of people participating in the sport and other projects and development of triathlon." The fund has been accepted. At this point what the income will be is in question, but as we fund, and in some cases run participation events across the state at our expense in the past year, we would expect and have support of some race directors to the development and introduction of the sport to the novices as future customers of us all.

The question was asked of all RD's what level to set for the 2002/2003 season for the TDF. We asked for $2.20. The responses to date have been in support of this amount.

What we are looking for is the overall position of all RD's to enable a majority decision.

Regards
Michael Sanderson
Administrator
Triathlon Queensland

[IMPORTANT NOTICE: CONFIDENTIALITY AND LEGAL PRIVILEGE]
This transmission is intended only for the addressee and may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If you are not the addressee, you are notified that any transmission, distribution, or photocopying of this email is strictly prohibited. The legal privilege and confidentiality attached to this email is not waived, lost or destroyed by reason of mistake delivery to you. If you have received this transmission in error please immediately notify me by return email and destroy the original email. THANK YOU.
From: David Ray  
To: Michael Sanderson  
Cc: Tony Patteson ; Paul Binnie ; Kevin Jones ; Kevin Dellow ; Brian Chapman ; Timbo Hughes ; Whitsunday Tri Club ; Tri-Fit Uni Qld Tri Club ; Townsville Tri Club ; Stephen Mentiplay ; Scott Smith ; Redcliffe Tri Club ; Phil Hungerford ; Nick Munting ; Mackay Tri Club ; Isa Rats ; Grant Schofield ; Frenchville Triathlon Club ; Donna Croft ; David Vincent ; Dave Hansen ; Cairns Crocs Triathlon Club ; Ann Mantari  
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2002 6:39 PM  
Subject: Re: Fees - Insurance and sanctioning/Development  

Michael  
a) Your Friday 26/7 deadline is a little tight and impractical.  
The info as supplied ex TQ is not comprehensive enough. More detail is required in order to make informed decisions.  
b) Entry fee differential ?....what is TQ asking for here ?  
That Qld RDs (event managers) charge their customers different prices ? .... an entry fee differential ?  

If that is the case, I suggest TQ should put forward a proposal to RD's showing the cost/ benefits - to all parties ( customers/competitors, race directors, state association etc ) of such a request.  
It should not be assumed that an entry fee differential is standard component of any event.

3) TDF  
2.20 or $1.10. Naturally we would prefer the $2.20 as the costs of generating participation events across Queensland is not a cheap exercise.  
I don't recall the TDF being allocated to "generating participation events ". My understanding was that if the fund was accepted it would be used to increase participation in triathlon and it's use would be jointly administered by the State assoc and the RD chair.  

Again if TQ wish Qld RDs (event managers) to consider introducing the TDF I suggest TQ should put forward a proposal to RD's showing the cost / proposed budget / proposed programme / benefits etc - to all parties ( customers/competitors, race directors, state association etc ) of such a fund.

4) Qld RDs - have attached Summary ex recent TA Conference  

Race Directors - please advise me of your thoughts on this issues - either email or mob 0419 727 590.  
I will be out of the office Fri 26/7.- contactable on mobile.  

Regards  
David Ray  
Race Directors Chair - TQ

----- Original Message -----  
From: Michael Sanderson  
To: Race Directors Chair  
Cc: Tony Patteson ; Paul Binnie ; Kevin Jones ; Kevin Dellow ; Brian Chapman ; Timbo Hughes ; Whitsunday Tri Club ; Tri-Fit Uni Qld Tri Club ; Townsville Tri Club ; Stephen Mentiplay ; Scott Smith ; Redcliffe Tri Club ; Phil Hungerford ; Nick Munting ; Mackay Tri Club ; Isa Rats ; Grant Schofield ; Frenchville Triathlon Club ; Donna Croft ; David Vincent ; Dave Hansen ; Cairns Crocs Triathlon Club ; Ann Mantari  
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2002 12:00 PM  
Subject: Fees - Insurance and sanctioning/Development  

Dear David  

With the fees now being established across various components of the whole of sport insurance for triathlon as well as National policies being set, the only hurdle left to cover prior to the sanctioning documents being released into the market place is the sports development fund.

We attempted to set towards the end of a One Day Race Permit at $10 instead of $5 to incorporate an increase in insurance premiums as well as a sports development fee for the unlicensed to contribute to the development of the sport similar to members. It seems we were not the only State to consider this implementation but further ahead than most in the planning stage prior to the establishment of a whole of sports insurance package.

With the changes to insurance, also came changes to State and National policies and implementation of National policies in all areas of sanctioning, insurance and sports development funds (now called Triathlon Development Fund).

TA has sent a policy re this fund as attached.

As 2003/2004 season fees are set at $2.20 per unlicensed, it only remains to set this year. TA proposed $2.20 (GST included) but agreement was needed between the States and the RD's.

So, in order for the sanctioning documents/policy, as per attached to be made public we need to have a consensus/majority decision on what fee will be set $2.20 or $1.10. Naturally we would prefer the $2.20 as the costs
of generating participation events across Queensland is not a cheap exercise.
Could you please advise by close of business 26 July 2002 as to the majority decision.
Regards
Michael Sanderson
Administrator
Triathlon Queensland

IMPORTANT NOTICE: CONFIDENTIALITY AND LEGAL PRIVILEGE
This transmission is intended only for the addressee and may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If you are not the addressee, you are notified that any transmission, distribution, or photocopying of this email is strictly prohibited. The legal privilege and confidentiality attached to this email is not waived, lost or destroyed by reason of mistake delivery to you. If you have received this transmission in error please immediately notify me by return email and destroy the original email. THANK YOU.