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Overview

• Psychological preparation – Scott Gayton
• Injury risks & impacts – Rod Pope
• Security & protection of teams – Shane Irving
• Hot & humid conditions – Matt Brearley
• Preparing for field operations – Richard Gorey
• Load carriage – Rob Orr
• Wrap-Up & Panel Q & A – Rod Pope
Psychological Preparation for SAR

Scotty Gayton (Major, PhD)

https://bond.edu.au/tru
Between 2005-2013 average of 11,233 terrorist attacks occurred worldwide per year, which involved 16,013 deaths, 31,848 injured victims, and 9,661 kidnappings each year. (Garcia-Vera et al., 2014)
Selection of MCT—A focus on the “soft skills”

- Human factors and errors
- How do we select the right people?
- Selection program design
- Team behaviours must be assessed
- Peer rating
- Character versus competence
- Physical capability
Character versus competence  (Gayton & Kehoe, 2015)

• Values drive behaviour!

https://bond.edu.au/tru
Character versus competence

Character Strengths and values
- Integrity
- Teamwork
- Persistence
- Trustworthiness
- Good judgement
Optimum team behaviours...

• Tolerate ambiguity: Interpret environmental cues and take action quickly
• Effective Communication: Information is interpreted correctly and communicated to team
• High levels of individual and team SA
• Well defined roles and team goals
• Are able to adjust team processes and strategies rapidly
• Shared consciousness
• Adaptive precision
• Shared trust and purpose
Developing trust in swift action starting teams

- **Competence**: expert knowledge, technical facility, and everyday routine performance (Stanton, 2011).

- **Benevolence**: Is the extent that a trustee is seen as wanting to do good to a trustor, independent of their self-interests – believing that others are well intentioned reduces risk and uncertainty.

- **Integrity**: Is regarded as a necessary ingredient in building trust within teams (Baker et al., 2006; Mayer, Davis, & Schoorman, 1995; Salas et al., 2008; Stanton, 2011, Gayton & Kehoe, 2015).
Interoperability
You do not rise to the situation, you sink to the level of training!
Training for the unknown

Principles:

• Routine training will be redundant!

• *Crawl-Walk-Run* approach

• Guided error training

• Forced reflection through

  AAR’s and story telling

• Generativity versus stagnation

• Stress Exposure Training (SET)
Stress exposure training (SET)

“It is immensely important that no soldier, whatever his rank, should wait for war to expose him to those aspects of active service that amaze and confuse him when he firsts comes across them. If he has met them even once before, they will begin to be familiar to him”

(On War, Clausewitz, 1832, p. 122).
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Best practice: Training for VUCA environments

**Task Stressors**
- Time compression
- Ambiguity
- Uncertainty
- High amounts of data
- Workload
- Auditory overload
- Task complexity

**Ambient Stressors**
- High cost of error
- Adverse physical conditions
- Personal threat
- Interference
- Heat
- Cold
- Isolation
Psychological variables...increasing resilience of SAR personnel

- Threat appraisal awareness
- Cognitive and physiological arousal reduction strategies
- Overlearning
- Mental rehearsal
- Emotional regulation
- Guided error training
- Metacognitive awareness
Preparing personnel to manage injury risks & impacts

Rod Pope
SES volunteer in hospital after being injured during flood training

Jodie Stephens

An SES volunteer is in a stable condition after he was injured during flood rescue training at Penrith Whitewater Stadium on Sunday afternoon.

Paramedics were called out to the stadium about 2.50pm to reports of a man in medical distress.
CQ Rescue winches injured SES volunteer

Troy Kippin | 8th May 2017 7:55PM

The CQ Rescue helicopter is on its way to Mount Britton.

UPDATE 7:38pm: AN SES volunteer was taken to hospital by RACQ CQ Rescue on Monday after he fell and injured his leg at Mt Britton.

The 29-year-old man was at the time involved in a ground search for another man believed missing for five days in the area.

Due to the extent of the injury and terrain, the volunteer was unable to walk and couldn't be carried by other SES members.

The helicopter and crew left the Mackay base about noon and after offloading excessive weight at the Mt Britton campground carpark, continued on to the man's location.

SES crews in the area used chainsaws to cut trees to enable the paramedic to winch down to the patient where he was able to administer pain relief.

After collecting the injured man, the helicopter collected items left in the campground carpark and arrived at Mackay Base Hospital about 3pm.
Importance?

- Personal/family
- Critical team members
- Critical resources
- Mission risks/ success
- Morale
- Long term/ chronic workforce impacts – less, less capable
- Training impacts
- $$?
Why? What prep?

- Multifactorial - systems approach
- Some key factors we can manage through preparation:
  - History of prior injury; specificity in rehab/ RTW
  - Aerobic fitness/endurance/strength – 40% rule
  - Level of experience/ skill
  - Psychological status/ preparedness / situational awareness
  - Communication / connectedness / support
  - Loads, equipment (PPE = last defence)
  - Sleep status / fatigue
  - Risk management: external hazards & threats
  - Visibility
- Mechanisms of note:
  - Re-injury: weakened tissues/ unstable joints
  - Fatigue, torque deficiencies
  - Awareness, automation, peripheral narrowing, risk ID
  - Training programs
Self-reported injuries by time period.
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Preparation of workforce to ensure Security and Protection of Teams

Senior Sergeant
Shane IRVING
Specialist Response Group
Australian Federal Police
Optimal Preparation
The ‘Why not’s’

“Game days are everyday and our opposition don’t always play by the rules, our loses will not be counted in points”
Preparation for the task
Holistic Preparation, ‘head to toe and everything in between’

• Performance is directly related to preparation, planning and maintenance of capacity  therefore capability......if capability fails, security is compromised

• ‘In out and around’ when preparing........this will counter the ‘Why Not’s’

• The 1%er’s, they will get you every time

• Load Carriage

• Hydration

• PPE appropriate to match threat/risk vs environment

• Security of an operation, compromise or failure to achieve mission objective can have major Political and Strategic ramifications, particularly in overseas context

• Security of a team can be completely effected in an hour of strenuous activity if one member fails to complete the 1%er’s the little things very very quickly can mount to major capability disruption, i.e.
Head to Toe preparation
Know your resources capability
Preparation, rules to consider when planning for team Optimal Performance

Mission

Men

Me

Pete Blaber

Irving 2015
A       Attitude, I can’t train that in you!

E       Ego prevents individual learning, inhibits peer growth and team growth and cohesion, we can all learn from being humble, respectful and listening to all around us, all of the time

I       Integrity, linked with Attitude be humble, honest, polite and respectful

O       Ownership, of behaviors, skills, equipment, team performance, own your F@#k ups

U       Understand why you have to do something, understand the strategic intent, find answers, research, aspire and you will inspire
Train the suck tasks, a 1%
Terrain, Topography, Temperature
Summary and Take Away

- Preparation from head to toe, ‘IN, OUT and AROUND
- Performance is directly related to preparation, planning and maintenance of capacity therefore capability......if capability fails, security is compromised
- Instill culture of 3M’s
- The 1%er’s can make the difference between mission compromise or success
- VOWEL rule of preparation, command and execution of mission
- As a leader understand our people, understand where your operators sit in this spectrum, know their traits, their triggers and their strengths, as a leader you can adjust and maneuver to get the most out of your capability
- All essential for Optimal Performance and Security in Harsh and Volatile environments
- All the above will stop the.......‘Why Not's’ being asked
Optimal Performance Solutions

Phone (+61) 408 477 084 | Email optima@performancesolutions.com.au
Web optima@performancesolutions.com.au

Explore, Educate, Inspire
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Tropical Climates
Earthquake Hazard Distribution
Search and Rescue in the Heat

• Resource limited setting

• Restricted access to cooling techniques

• Maximise heat tolerance prior to deployment

• 24h USAR simulation to assess HA v NHA
Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Heat Acclimatised</th>
<th>Non Heat Acclimatised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass (kg)</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>98.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Physiological Monitoring
Study Overview

• 16 NTFRS and QFES participants
• Disaster Scene on outskirts of Darwin, NT
• Commenced ~1300
• All 16 participants worked initial 4 hour shift
• 34.0°C, 48% RH, 31.4°C WBGT, Full Sun
• Thereafter rotating to complete ~15/24 hours
• Overall 29.4°C, 72% RH
Findings

• Similar CT ~90mins
• HA ↑ CT
• Similar perception between HA and NHA
• Similar hydration between HA and NHA
• ‘Heat Hangover’

Heat Hangover
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>CT / HR</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Prev. 2h T_{gi}/HR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.5*</td>
<td>37.3 / 104</td>
<td>■</td>
<td>■</td>
<td></td>
<td>37.5 / 114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>37.8 / 131</td>
<td>■</td>
<td>■</td>
<td></td>
<td>37.3 / 113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6*</td>
<td>38.2 / 101</td>
<td>■</td>
<td>■</td>
<td></td>
<td>38.0 / 121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>37.2 / 96</td>
<td>■</td>
<td>■</td>
<td>■</td>
<td>Sleeping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>37.4 / 117</td>
<td>■</td>
<td>■</td>
<td></td>
<td>37.3 / 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>38.2 / 132</td>
<td>■</td>
<td>■</td>
<td></td>
<td>37.4 / 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>37.4 / 125</td>
<td>■</td>
<td>■</td>
<td></td>
<td>37.3 / 113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendations

• Annual heat awareness and management training

• Year round physical training to maintain HA

• Guidelines to develop HA for NHA personnel with sufficient notice of impending deployment
Heat Acclimatisation Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Nothing at All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Very, Very Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Very Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fairly Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Somewhat Hard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Very Hard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Very, Very Hard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Take Home Message

• Year round physical training to induce/maintain heat acclimatisation
• Annual heat awareness and management training
• Maximise heat tolerance prior to deployment

• Deploy HA responders

Preparing and maintaining for field operations - Fire

Bond University Health Sciences – TRU
&
QFES
Inspector Richard Gorey
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Who Am I?

• 28 years Emergency Service – 25 with QFES.
• 25 Years diver with DT8 RANR
• 12 years in Technical Rescue as a level 2 operator and Project Officer
• QFES AusRep & undergoing Cat 3 USAR training
• Manager Regional Wellness – Brisbane Region
• Technical advisor & Masters student- Bond Uni
The Foundation

• Appropriate pre-selection both physical and psychological
• Use only validated physical entry standards appropriate to the skill sets
• Psychological testing for temperament and resilience
• Pre-screening and medical assessment prior to commencement of testing as a baseline and a guide for improvement or to reduce injury risk.
• Non-biased
• Provide guidance on preparation, maintenance and rehabilitation.
Known, Anticipated and the Stretch

• Understand the extremes of your operational theatres and core business and train for these.
• Outside of this, what could be anticipated?
• What capacity do the individuals and the organisation have for surge or stretch capacity?
• Capture lessons learnt from training and operations to feedback, adjust and improve.
• Reward, re-evaluate, support, build pride.
Case Study – Samoa 2009

• 2 Hours warning to deploy
• Small group – nowhere to hide – heavy loads
• High heat, full sun, heavy PPC, rough terrain
• Limited facilities for recovery and unwind
• Operating from closed compound near impact zone
Case Study - Christchurch

• 6 hours notice to deploy
• Large group – cramped conditions
• Variable climate
• Large number of deceased victims
• High risk worksite – stability
• Living in the work zone
Case Study
- Christchurch
Case Study - Grantham

• Unanticipated scenario
• No Helo Training – default to known trained skills
• Insufficient “recognition primed decision making” in this field
• Some skills were directly transferrable
• Rescue skills must be developed to be performed subconsciously
Critical Physical Platforms

• Joint mobility and stability
• Core functionality
• Strength and strength endurance
• Sound understanding of the principles and concepts of safe lifting and control of load external to the body
• Resilience in the 3 key areas of injury
• Ability to operate in temperature extremes and under load.
Critical Mental Platforms

- Team player
- Resilient
- Ability to ‘let go’ and ‘step away’
- Strong stress coping mechanisms
- A ‘long fuse’ and good sense of humour
- Self confident
- Strong empathy understanding
Critical Training Platforms

• Equipment operation and skills must be trained to the automatic response level.
• Train the decision making process under pressure, not the ability to follow a template.
• Spend some time training the way you play.
• Variety – minimise predictability.
• Support physical training
LOAD CARRIAGE IN SAR

Dr Rob Orr
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CASE STUDY

- Person missing while hiking in the Blue Mountains
- Searching for 8 hours in cold weather and rain – storm front approaching
- Due to weather – stretcher carry exfil/recovery
CASE STUDY

• How prepared are you for a load carriage task that:
  • Includes steep and ardours terrain?
  • Must be completed as quickly as possible?
  • Has heavy loads on the back AND in the hands?
LOADS CARRIED

- Conolly et al., 2015:
  - Prolonged hiking with loaded backpack (13.5-23 kg), helmet, and harness in mountainous terrain with shared litter loads that can be in excess of 45 kg.
RISK ENHANCING FACTORS

• ↑ in speed of load carriage = ↑ in the energy cost of carrying given load (more than weight)?
  ↑ 0.5km/h = ↑ 10kg
RISK ENHANCING FACTORS

• ↑ in gradient of load carriage = ↑ in the energy cost of carrying given load (more than weight)?
  ↑ 1% = ↑ 10kg
RISK ENHANCING FACTORS

- Different terrains types will elicit different energy cost requirements
  
  $(\text{road-light brush-heavy brush-sand})$
RISK ENHANCING FACTORS

• Differences in load placement will elicit differences in energy cost.
  • Weight on the feet more costly than the back
  • Thigh more costly than back (0.5kg ↑ cost by 3.5%)
  • Shoulder more costly than back
  • Hands around 2x more costly than back*
    • Sustainment time is significantly shorter with hand carried loads
    • Unilateral load causes gait asymmetries and again ↑ energy costs
LOAD CARRIAGE CONDITIONING

Research by Orr et al. (2010) and Knapik et al., (2012) recommend:

• F.I.T.T Formula (Frequency, Intensity, Time & Type)
  • F. 7-10 days per load carriage session
  • I. To loads required at the speeds and over the terrains required
  • T. Duration of load carriage operations
  • T. Load carriage preferable, but combined resistance and cardio may be of some benefit
CASE STUDY

• How prepared are you for your next SAR task?
• Have you undertaken sufficient load carriage conditioning to prevent yourself from becoming an acute casualty or suffering longer term/chronic pathologies?
TAKE HOME MESSAGES

• Load carriage is about more than the load weight, terrain type and grade, speed of movement and load position must be taken into account.

• To minimise the risk of injury and increase the potential for operational success SAR personnel need to be conditioning to carry load IN THEIR RELEVANT CONTEXT.
REFERENCES

- Neumann DA, Cook TM, Sholty RL, et al. (1992). An electromyographical analysis of hip abductor muscle activity when subjects are carrying load in one or both hands. Physical Therapy,72(3):207-17
Wrap-Up & Panel Discussion