


Transmission of Copyrighted Works Over the Internet:

RIGHTS AND EXCEPTIONS

HONG TAO



ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I greatly appreciate my supervisor, Professor William Van Caenegem, for his earnest and tireless academic guidance on this thesis. I appreciate Professors Carney and Lupton for their helpful academic suggestions and encouragement. My thanks also extend to all the staff of the Faculty of Law for their kind help.

Finally, I thank my wife, Yixiang Su, for her support, and my daughter, Zhen Tao, for her encouragement.

CERTIFICATION

This thesis is submitted to Bond University in fulfillment of the requirement for the
Degree of Doctor of Legal Science.

This thesis represents my own work and contains no material which has been
previously submitted for a degree or diploma at Bond University or any other
institution, except where due acknowledgement is made.

Signature..... Date

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENT	I
CERTIFICATION	II
LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES.....	IX
TABLE OF CASES.....	XI
TABLE OF STATUTES.....	XIV
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 TECHNOLOGY AND COPYRIGHT.....	1
1.2 THE CHALLENGE OF THE INTERNET	3
1.3 THE FOCUS OF THIS THESIS	7
2. THE CONCEPT OF INTERNET TRANSMISSION.....	10
2.1 TECHNOLOGICAL DEFINITION	10
2.2 LEGAL DEFINITION	11
2.2.1 <i>The WIPO: Communication to the public</i>	12
2.2.2 <i>Japan: Public Transmission</i>	14
2.2.3 <i>Australia: Communication to the public</i>	17
2.2.4 <i>The United States: Distribution</i>	19
2.3 NEW CONCEPT V. TRADITIONAL CONCEPT	22
3. LEGAL CHARACTER OF INTERNET TRANSMISSION	24
3.1 WIPO'S RIGHT OF COMMUNICATION TO THE PUBLIC.....	26
3.2 AUSTRALIA'S RIGHT OF COMMUNICATION TO THE PUBLIC.....	33
3.3 JAPAN'S RIGHT OF PUBLIC TRANSMISSION	37
3.4 USA'S DISTRIBUTION RIGHT	41
3.5 THE CONCEPT OF THE PUBLIC	48
3.6 WHEN AND WHERE DOES A COMMUNICATION OCCUR?	52
3.7 CASES RELATED TO THE RIGHT OF TRANSMISSION	60
3.7.1 <i>A & M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc.</i>	60
3.7.2 <i>MGM v. Grokster Ltd.</i>	62
3.7.3 <i>Universal Music Australia Pty Ltd v Sharman License Holdings Ltd</i>	63
3.7.4 <i>Universal Music Australia Pty Ltd v Cooper</i>	64
3.7.5 <i>A Japanese Case</i>	66
3.8 CONCLUSION	68
4. OTHER RIGHTS RELEVANT TO TRANSMISSION.....	71
4.1 THE RIGHT OF REPRODUCTION.....	72
4.1.1 <i>Concept of Reproduction</i>	72

4.1.1.1 International Level	73
4.1.1.2 Reproduction in the US	74
4.1.1.3 Reproduction in Japan	77
4.1.1.4 Reproduction in Australia	78
4.1.2 <i>Storage of Works in Computers</i>	81
4.1.2.1 Random Access Memory.....	82
4.1.2.2 Permanent Storage.....	84
4.1.2.3 Temporary Storage.....	86
4.1.3 <i>The Storage Problem of Browsing</i>	97
4.1.4 <i>The Problem of Caching</i>	100
4.1.5 <i>Solutions re Temporary Storage</i>	102
4.1.5.1 Certain Temporary Storage is not a Reproduction.....	103
4.1.5.2 Exception	103
4.2 THE RIGHT OF DISTRIBUTION.....	107
4.2.1 <i>Meaning of Distribution</i>	107
4.2.2 <i>Issue of Distribution on the Internet</i>	109
4.2.3 <i>Uploading Works Infringes the Distribution Right – A US Case</i>	111
4.2.4 <i>Distribution and Publication in Australian</i>	113
4.2.5 <i>Different Contents: Distribution Rights in Japan</i>	115
4.3 THE RIGHT OF IMPORTATION	118
4.3.1 <i>The US Approach</i>	120
4.3.2 <i>The Australian Approach</i>	122
4.3.3 <i>The Japanese Approach</i>	125
4.3.4 <i>The Role of Transmission</i>	127
4.4 THE RIGHT OF PUBLIC PERFORMANCE.....	128
4.4.1 <i>Concept at International Level</i>	128
4.4.2 <i>Performance Right in the United States</i>	132
4.4.3 <i>Performance Right in Australia</i>	136
4.4.4 <i>Performance Right in Japan</i>	140
4.4.5 <i>Comparison of Performance Protection in Different Jurisdiction</i>	142
4.5 THE RIGHT OF PUBLIC DISPLAY	145
4.5.1 <i>Display in the United States</i>	146
4.5.1.1 Transmission of a Work Constitutes Public Display	147
4.5.1.2 Framing Constitutes Public Display.....	148
4.5.2 <i>Display of Transmission in Japan</i>	150
4.5.3 <i>Display of Transmission in Australia</i>	151
4.6 CONCLUSION	151
5. EXCEPTIONS RELATED TO THE INTERNET.....	154
5.1 WHAT IS AN ‘EXCEPTION’	154
5.2 THE LEGAL STANDARD OF EXCEPTION: THE THREE-STEP TEST.....	157
5.3 FAIR USE.....	161
5.3.1 <i>General Concepts</i>	161
5.3.1.1 The United States	162
5.3.1.2 Australia.....	164
5.3.1.3 Japan	166
5.3.2 <i>Issues of Fair Use on the Internet</i>	167
5.3.2.1 Fair Use should be Applicable to the Internet by Analogy	168
5.3.2.2 Kelly v. Arriba Soft	171
5.3.2.3 Fair Use Should Wither Away	173

<i>5.3.3 Technological Measures and Fair Use</i>	175
<i>5.3.3.1 The United States</i>	177
<i>5.3.3.2 Australia.....</i>	183
<i>5.3.3.3 Japan.....</i>	190
<i>5.3.3.4 Do We Need an Access Right?</i>	193
<i>5.3.4 Contract and Fair Use</i>	194
<i>5.3.4.1 Shrinkwrap Licence.....</i>	195
<i>5.3.4.2 Clickwrap Licence.....</i>	200
<i>5.3.4.3 Strengthening Fair Use</i>	202
<i>5.3.5 Sui Generis Right and Fair Use.....</i>	205
<i>5.4 LIBRARY AND ARCHIVE EXCEPTIONS</i>	210
<i>5.4.1 Reproducing and Communicating Works for Users</i>	212
<i>5.4.2 Reproducing and Communicating Works for Other Libraries.....</i>	216
<i>5.4.3 Reproducing and Communicating Unpublished Works.....</i>	218
<i>5.4.4 Exception for Electronic Rights Management Information</i>	218
<i>5.5 EDUCATIONAL USE EXCEPTIONS</i>	221
<i>5.5.1 Educational Use and Fair Use</i>	221
<i>5.5.2 Educational Use and Library Use</i>	223
<i>5.5.3 Educational Use in the United States</i>	223
<i>5.5.4 Educational Use in Australia</i>	225
<i>5.5.5 Educational Uses in Japan.....</i>	228
<i>5.6 ONLINE SERVICE PROVIDER LIABILITY EXCEPTIONS</i>	229
<i>5.6.1 Online Service Provider</i>	229
<i>5.6.2 OSPs' Liability Exceptions in the United States.....</i>	231
<i>5.6.2.1 Some Cases</i>	231
<i>5.6.2.2 Legislation</i>	234
<i>5.6.3 OSPs' Liability Exceptions in Australia.....</i>	238
<i>5.6.3.1 Some Cases</i>	238
<i>5.6.3.2 Legislation</i>	240
<i>5.6.4 OSPs' Liability Exceptions in Japan</i>	243
<i>5.6.4.1 Some Cases</i>	243
<i>5.6.4.2 Legislation</i>	244
<i>5.7 CONCLUSION FOR EXCEPTIONS</i>	247
6. CONCLUSION	250
BIBLIOGRAPHY	261

List of Figures and Tables

FIGURE 1. JAPANESE DEFINITION OF TRANSMISSION	17
FIGURE 2. PROCEDURE OF TRANSMITTING A WORK	25
FIGURE 3. EXERCISING THE RIGHT OF COMMUNICATION TO THE PUBLIC	33
FIGURE 4. START AND END POINTS OF COMMUNICATION	53
FIGURE 5. LOGICAL RELATION BETWEEN COMMUNICATION AND ITS SUBSETS	55
FIGURE 6. THE PLACES OF COMMUNICATION.....	57
FIGURE 7. PROTECTIONS OF PUBLIC PERFORMANCE	142
FIGURE 8. COMPARISON OF LIBRARY EXCEPTION IN DIFFERENT COUNTRIES	221

TABLE OF CASES

<i>A & M Recordds, Inc., v. Napster, Inc.</i> , 114 F. Supp. 2d 896, (N.D.Cal. 2000).....	60, 61
<i>Advanced Computer Services of Michigan, Inc. v. MAI System Corp.</i> , 854 F. Supp. 356 (E.D. Va. 1994).....	78
<i>Akuto etc. v. K.K Sega</i> , Osaka High Court, 29 March 2001	113
<i>Australasian Performing Right Assn Ltd v Commonwealth Bank of Australia</i> (1992) 25 IPR 157.	49
<i>Australasian Performing Right Assn Ltd v Telstra Corp Ltd</i> (1997) 38 IPR 294	49
<i>Australasian Performing Right Association Ltd. v Telstra Co. Ltd.</i> (1993) 46 FCR 131.	135
<i>Australian Video Retailers Ltd v Warner Home Video Ltd</i> , (2001) 53 IPR 242.....	91
<i>Autodesk Inc. v Dyason</i> , (1989) 15 IPR 1.....	90
<i>Avel Pty Ltd v Multicoin Amusement Pty Ltd</i> (1990) 171 CLR 88.	110
<i>Baltic Shipping Co v Dillon</i> (1991) 22 NSWLR 1	195
<i>Caspi v. Microsoft Network</i> , 732 A 2d 528 (N.J. Super Ct. App. Div 1999)	196
<i>Desktop Marketing Systems Pty Ltd v Telstra Corporation Ltd</i> [2002] FCAFC 112.204	
<i>Dow Jones & Company Inc v Gutnick</i> (2002) CLR 575	54
<i>Feist Publications, Inc., Petitioner v. Rural Telephone Service Company, Inc.</i> 449 U.S. 340 (1991).	201
<i>Haines v. Copyright Agency Ltd</i> , (1982) 42 ALR 549 (Fed C of A)	218
<i>Harper & Row, Publishers, Inc. v. Nation Enters.</i> 471 U.S. 539 (1985).....	159
<i>Hotmail Corporation v. Van\$Money Pie Inc., et al.</i> 47 U.S.P.Q. 2d (BNA) 1020, (N.D. Cal 1998).....	196
<i>Intellectual Reseve, Inc. v. Utah Lighthouse Ministry, Inc.</i> , 75 Supp. 2d 1290, (D. Utah 2000).....	89, 94
<i>Jennings v Stephens</i> (1936) Ch 469.....	49
<i>K.K. Enikkusu v. K.K. Josho</i> , Tokyo High Court, 27 March 2001.....	113
<i>Kabushiki Kaisha Sony Computer Entertainment v Stevens</i> [2002] FCA 906	92
<i>Keep K.K v. K.K Pony Canyon Hanbal et al</i> , Tokyo District Court, 1 July 1994.....	122
<i>Kelly v. Arriba Soft Corp</i> , 280 F. 3d 934 (9th Cir. 2000).....	144
<i>Ladbrod (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd</i> , [1964] 1 AllER 465	70, 71
<i>MacRobertson Miller Airline Services v Commissioner of State Taxation (WA)</i> (1975) 133 CLR 125	195

<i>MAI System Corp. v. Peak Computer, Inc.</i> , 991 F. 2d 511 (9th Cir. 1993)	88, 91
<i>Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd.</i> , 125 S.Ct. 2764, 75 U.S.P.Q.2d 1001 (2005).....	62
<i>Microsoft Corp v Business Boost Pty Ltd</i> [2000] FCA 1651	90
<i>Niftyserve Forum Contemporary Ideas</i> , Hanji 1610, Tokyo District Court (May 26, 1998).....	239
<i>Oceanic Sun Line Special Shipping Co Inc. v Fay</i> (1988) 165 CLR 197.....	195
<i>ProCD, Inc. v. Zeidenberg</i> , 86 F. 3d 1447 (7th Cir. 1996).....	193, 194
<i>Religious Technology Center v. Netcom On-Line Communication Services</i> , 907 F. Supp. 1361 (N.D. Cal. 1995)	97, 228
<i>Sakimura v. Yashiro</i> , II-1 Chosakuken Hanreishu, Tokyo District Court 84 (June 20, 1919)	202
<i>Sega Enterprises, Ltd. v. MAPHIA</i> , 857 F. Supp. 679 (N.D. Cal. 1994).	229
<i>Sony Corp. of America. v. Universal City Studios, Inc.</i> , 464 U.S. 417 (1984)	158
<i>Specht v. Netscape Communications Corp.</i> 306 F.3d 17 (2d Cir 2002).....	197
<i>Step-Saver Data Systems, Inc. v. Wyse Techn. And Software Link, Inc.</i> , 939 F.2d 91 (3 rd Cir. 1991)	193
<i>Stevens v Kabushiki Kaisha Sony Computer Entertainment</i> [2005] HCA 58.....	92
<i>Sundeman v. Seajay Society, Inc.</i> , 142 F.3d 194 (4th Cir. 1998).....	159
<i>Telstra Corporation Limited v Australasian Performing Right Association Limited</i> , (1997) 38 IPR 294.	233
<i>Toritsu University Case</i> , Hanji 1707 Tokyo District Court (Sep 24, 1999).....	239
<i>Trumpet Software Pty. Ltd. v OzEmail Pty. Ltd</i> , (1996) 34 IPR 481	234
<i>Universal City Studios, Inc., et al. v. Shawn C. Reimerdes, et al.</i> 111 F. Supp. 2d 294 (S.D.N.Y. 2000).....	176, 183
<i>Universal Music Australia Pty Ltd v Cooper</i> (2005) 65 IPR 409	64
<i>Universal Music Australia Pty Ltd v Sharman License Holdings</i> , (2005) 65 IPR 28963	
<i>Woolworths Limited v Mark Konrad Olson & Anor</i> [2004] NSWSC 849	50

TABLE OF STATUTES

<i>Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Right</i>	147
<i>Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic works</i> 3, 5, 11, 12, 13, 25, 35, 39, 41, 66, 77, 80, 81, 99, 110, 119, 120, 122, 131, 135, 144, 146, 147, 148, 149, 163, 217, 245	
<i>Copyright Act 1968(Cth)</i> .. 3, 17, 34, 35, 48, 66, 71, 72, 86, 96, 97, 104, 105, 113, 114, 115, 126, 127, 128, 129, 132, 140, 152, 153, 172, 173, 176, 179, 191, 198, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 209, 213, 226, 227, 245	
<i>Copyright Amendment (Digital Agenda) Act 2000 (Cth)</i> 17, 49, 172, 173, 174, 198, 200, 201, 211, 213, 224, 225, 228	
<i>Copyright Amendment (Parallel Importation) Act 2003 (Cth)</i>	115
<i>Copyright Amendment Act (No 2) 1998 (Cth)</i>	113
<i>Copyright and Related Right Act 1995 (Slvenia)</i>	26
<i>Copyright Law of Japan (2004)</i> 14, 15, 17, 21, 35, 36, 37, 49, 70, 71, 81, 94, 106, 107, 108, 109, 116, 117, 130, 131, 132, 139, 140, 155, 178, 179, 193, 202, 203, 204, 214, 239	
<i>Copyright Law of the United States of America</i>18, 19, 20, 22, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 66, 67, 68, 71, 98, 100, 101, 105, 111, 112, 123, 124, 125, 126, 132, 134, 135, 136, 151, 152, 166, 167, 168, 184, 190, 193, 198, 199, 200, 203, 204, 208, 210, 211, 217, 221, 231, 232	
<i>Copyright, Designs and Patent Act 1988 (UK)</i>	164
<i>Digital Millennium Copyright Act (US 1998)</i> .21, 97, 98, 167, 168, 169, 172, 173, 179, 198, 199, 210, 211, 224, 227, 228	
<i>Digital Performance Right in Sound Recordings Act (US 1995)</i>	124
<i>Directive on Copyright and Related Rights in the Information Society (EU 2002)</i>88	
<i>European Union Directive on the Legal Protection of Databases (1996)</i>	88
<i>European Union Directive on the Protect of Computer Software (1991)</i>	88
<i>International Convention for the Protection of Performers, producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organisations</i>	12, 13, 66, 120, 130, 144
<i>Law on Artistic and Intellectual Works (TR 1995)</i>	27
<i>Law on Copyright and Neighboring Rights (GER 1996)</i>	26
<i>Law on Copyright and Neighbouring Rights 1993 (RU)</i>	26
<i>Regulations for the Implementation of the Copyright Law of the People's Republic of China (2002)</i>	41
<i>US Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act 2004 (Cth)</i>	205, 227
<i>WIPO Copyright Treaty (1996)</i> . 5, 14, 27, 29, 35, 56, 77, 79, 81, 94, 99, 100, 107, 111, 121, 135, 144, 147, 148, 163, 165, 180, 181, 233	
<i>WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty (1996)</i> . 5, 12, 13, 27, 29, 30, 80, 81, 94, 99, 111, 120, 121, 122, 144, 147, 148, 164, 233	