The Authoritarian Personality in the 21st Century.

Gareth Norris

This thesis is submitted to Bond University in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

This thesis represents my own work and contains no material which has previously been submitted for a degree or diploma at this or any other institution, except where due acknowledgement is made.

Signed:…Gareth Norris…… Date:…28/07/2005……

Acknowledgments:

Firstly, I would like to thank Bond University for providing me with the opportunity to conduct this research, and all the staff there who have provided me with advice and support; colleagues from the library, the Humanities and Social Science Faculty, and in particular the Criminology department. Secondly, credit must also go to my family and friends and my wife Heather, who have not only endured the final preparations of this manuscript but have provided me with the inspiration to succeed in completing this project. My sincerest debt of gratitude must go to Professor Paul Wilson who has nurtured my academic career, and without his experience and expertise in the field, this thesis would have not been possible.

Contents:

List of Tables and Figures

Introduction.

Chapter One – *The Authoritarian Personality*. **Page No.**

1.1	**Introduction**	**1**
1.2	**Early Psychological Studies in Relation to Political Ideology**	**2**
1.3	**Adorno et al's Approach**	**11**
1.4	**Early beginnings - Anti-Semitism**	**14**
1.5	**Ethnocentric Ideology**	**22**
1.6	**Antidemocratic Trends**	**29**
1.7	**Political and Economic Conservatism**	**42**
1.8	**Conclusion and Rationale for the Current Study**	**53**

Chapter Two – Post Adorno et al.

2.1	**Introduction**	**55**
2.2	**Post-Adorno et al.**	**55**
2.3	**Response-set Issues**	**57**
2.4	**Alternatives and Related Constructs**	**61**
2.5	**Altemeyer and Right-wing Authoritarianism**	**73**
2.6	**In-group/Out-Group Concepts**	**85**
2.7	**Authoritarianism of the left and right**	**93**
2.8	**Conclusion**	**114**

Chapter Three – Conceptualising the Authoritarian Personality.

3.1	**Introduction**	**115**
3.2	**Defining and Conceptualising the Authoritarian Personality**	**115**
3.3	**Authoritarianism: Social Conformity and Obedience**	**122**
3.4	**Obedience and authoritarianism**	**127**
3.5	**The authoritarian as a conformist**	**137**
3.6	**Experimental and Actuarial Evidence**	**145**
3.7	**Authoritarian Model**	**150**
3.8	**Conclusion**	**165**

Chapter Four – Method and Results.

4.1	**Introduction**	**166**
4.2	**Instruments**	**167**
4.3	**Selecting a sample**	**178**
4.4	**Statistical Analysis**	**192**

4.5 Sample Descriptives 195
4.6 Correlations of Instruments 197
4.7 Comparisons between groups 200
4.8 High vs. Low Authoritarians 211
4.9 Item Analyses – RWA validity 215
4.10 Summary of Results 218
4.11 Conclusion 220

Chapter Five – Discussion.

5.1 Introduction 221
5.2 Interpretation of results 222
5.3 Limitations and Implications 235
5.4 Introduction –Part Two 245
5.5 Social Conformity and Obedience as Authoritarianism. 245
5.6 Prejudice and Authoritarianism 253
5.7 The Resurgence of Authoritarian Explanation. 256
5.8 Reducing Authoritarianism. 263
5.9 Conclusion 269

References 270
Other Works Consulted 290

Appendix 1 – Instruments
Appendix 2 – Statistical Analyses

Tables and Figures:

Chapter Two:

Figure 2.1: **Range of political ideologies (Adapted from Eysenck, 1954) – p.63**
Figure 2.2: **Relationship between political ideologies on democratic dimension (Adapted from Eysenck, 1954) – p.63**
Figure 2.3: **Two-dimensional representation of political ideologies (Adapted from Eysenck, 1954) – p.64**
Figure 2.4: **Overlap between Communist, Fascist, Totalitarian Ideology – p.109**

Chapter Three:

Table 3.1: **Correlations among social conformity-Autonomy Measures and Authoritarianism (Adapted from Feldman, 2003) – p.121**
Figure 3.1: **Judgment lines in Asch's conformity experiment (Adapted from Asch, 1956) – p.192**
Figure 3.2: **Egalitarian-Totalitarian Model of Authoritarianism – p.153**

Chapter Four:

Figure 4.1: **C-Score and stage preference correlations (Lind, 2003) – p.174**
Table 4.1: **Descriptive Statistics of Sample – p.196**
Table 4.2: **Scale correlations for whole sample – p.197**
Table 4.3: **Scale correlations for the students – p.199**
Table 4.4: **Scale correlations for the non-students – p.199**
Table 4.5: **Descriptive table of MCSD totals –p. 200**
Table 4.6: **Means and Standard Deviations of MJT *C-Index* – p.205**
Table 4.7: **Descriptive analysis of RWA totals – p.207**